

Children and Families

Oct-17 Monthly dataset

Qualitative measures:			Key to direction of travel:			
Positive	Similar	Negative	Increase 10% or more	Similar	Decrease 10% or more	

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporte	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev.	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage?	Benchmarking			Target 17-18	Commentary (Oct-17):		
																							Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region				
M1	Number of contacts received (includes contacts that become referrals)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1547	1534	1260	1466	1510	1753	1278	1605	1357	1491	1259	1358	1378	→	1%	↓	-11%		1446	1753	-	Local	Local	Local		
M2	Number of new referrals of Children In Need (CIN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	320	208	198	270	288	287	244	333	307	299	246	281	309	↑	10%	→	-3%		276	333	-	359	341	429		
M3	Percentage of all contacts that become new referrals of Children In Need (CIN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	20.7%	13.6%	15.7%	18.4%	19.1%	16.4%	19.1%	20.7%	22.6%	20.1%	19.5%	20.7%	22.4%	→	8%	→	8%		19.2%	22.6%	P	Local	Local	Local		
M2-NI	Number of new referrals of Children in Need (CIN) rate per 10,000 (0-17 year olds)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	65	42	40	55	59	58	50	68	62	60	49	56	62	↑	11%	→	-5%		56	68	-	59	44	42		
M8-QL	Percentage of referrals dealt with by MASH where time from referral received / recorded to completion by MASH was 24 hours / 1 working day or less	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	81.0%	91.0%	90.0%	88.0%	87.0%	84.0%	81.0%	83.0%	81.0%	75.0%	79.0%	66.0%	57.0%	↓	-14%	↓	-30%	▲	80.2%	91.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		
M6-QL (val)	Number of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	74	42	29	45	57	63	54	60	57	45	33	52	41	↓	-21%	↓	-45%	▼	50	74	-	Local	Local	Local		
M6-QL	Percentage of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	23.1%	20.2%	14.6%	16.7%	19.8%	22.0%	22.0%	18.0%	19.0%	15.0%	13.0%	19.0%	13.0%	↓	-32%	↓	-44%	▼	18.1%	23.1%	P	23.9%	23.5%	23.5%		
M4	Number of new referrals of children aged 13+ where child sexual exploitation was a factor	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	3	2	0	1	3	0	1	2	5	0	2	3	4	↑	33%	↑	33%		2	5	-	Local	Local	Local		
EH1a	Number of Universal Help Assessments (UHAs) started in the month	Phi Balingham	Mia Wren	35	49	21	34	29	34	38	30	21	16	35	18	33	↑	83%	→	-6%		30	49	-	Local	Local	Local		Proposed reform of this measure is to instead all new and unique activity held within the 0-19 Integrated Service that is at Universal Plus or Universal Partnership Plus, but not also open to statutory services.
EH1c	Number of Universal Help Assessments (UHAs) completed in the month	Phi Balingham	Mia Wren	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	33	11	33	↑	200%	-	n/a		17	33	-	Local	Local	Local		Proposed reform of this measure is to count all new start's at Universal Plus or Universal Partnership Plus, with a unique Early Help Assessment or equivalent (including Outcome Star)
EH1b	Number of Universal Help Plans (UHPs) opened in the month (includes UHPs completed, and those still open at end of period)	Phi Balingham	Mia Wren	99	113	92	124	121	122	122	123	167	159	149	116	119	→	3%	↑	20%		125	167	-	Local	Local	Local		Commentary and associated issues remain the same - these measures are of little value without a mechanism and capacity to capture activity outside of PARIS/SCC services. "As above - this is a measure based on activity within PARIS that is no longer measured with the introduction of the EHA which is not built into PARIS; in future this should be viewed as an external measure of all Early Help activity across a range of services, both within SCC and outside."
M5	Number of children receiving Universal Help services who are stepped up for Children In Need (CIN) assessment	Phi Balingham	Mia Wren	27	30	25	27	31	3	7	7	8	1	2	17	2	↓	-88%	↓	-93%		14	31	-	Local	Local	Local		The numbers have stabilised again which might reflect a thinning out of complex cases held within FM teams; a broader definition of 'Early Help' will need to incorporate all activity held within Solent NHS as part of the integrated service - a mechanism is needed to capture that activity which is not currently held in PARIS but System One
EH2	Number of Children In Need (CIN) at end of period (all open cases, excluding UHPs, UHAs, CPP and LAC)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1271	944	1001	955	974	967	1017	1043	1040	1046	1030	1075	1106	→	3%	↓	-13%		1036	1271	-	Local	Local	Local		
EH5-QL	Number of children open to the authority who have been missing at any point in the period (count of children)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	67	58	31	50	35	45	40	48	37	41	32	34	42	↑	24%	↓	-37%	▼	43	67	-	Local	Local	Local		
EH3	Number of Single Assessments completed	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	264	291	123	187	122	214	137	193	207	189	193	178	152	↓	-15%	↓	-42%		188	291	-	295	313	401		
EH3a%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 10 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	8.7%	8.0%	15.5%	9.0%	6.2%	7.5%	7.3%	7.3%	11.6%	10.1%	2.6%	7.3%	8.6%	↑	17%	→	-2%	▲	8.4%	15.5%	P	Local	Local	Local		

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporte	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev.	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage?	Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	Target 17-18	Commentary (Oct-17):
EH3b%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 11-25 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	31.5%	29.6%	22.5%	26.5%	25.8%	22.9%	20.4%	15.0%	21.3%	12.2%	19.7%	26.4%	36.2%	↑ 37%	↑ 15%	▲	23.8%	36.2%	P	Local	Local	Local		
EH3c%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 26-35 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	10.9%	16.9%	15.7%	13.3%	2.0%	9.3%	8.8%	18.1%	8.7%	7.9%	7.3%	6.2%	15.1%	↑ 145%	↑ 39%	▲	10.8%	18.1%	P	Local	Local	Local		
EH3d%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 36-45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	6.3%	9.9%	24.3%	14.3%	8.2%	34.6%	35.0%	38.9%	40.6%	33.9%	45.1%	51.1%	27.0%	↓ 47%	↑ 327%	▲	28.4%	51.1%	P	Local	Local	Local		
EH3e%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed over 45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	42.6%	35.8%	22.1%	37.0%	57.9%	25.7%	28.5%	20.7%	17.9%	36.0%	25.4%	9.0%	13.2%	↑ 46%	↓ 69%	▼	28.6%	57.9%	P	13.7%	16.6%	17.3%		
EH4 (val)	Number of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	154	184	92	118	50	159	98	153	170	121	144	162	132	↓ 19%	↓ 14%	▲	134	184	-	254	261	331		
EH4-QL	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	58.3%	63.2%	74.8%	63.0%	41.0%	74.0%	72.0%	79.0%	82.0%	64.0%	75.0%	91.0%	87.0%	→ -4%	↑ 49%	▲	71.1%	91.0%	P	86.3%	83.4%	82.7%		
CP1	Number of Section 47 (S47) enquiries started	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	106	109	56	92	92	111	93	120	116	106	94	107	77	↓ -28%	↓ -27%		98	120	-	103	94	134		
CP1-NI	Section 47 (S47) enquiries rate per 10,000 children	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	22	22	11	19	19	23	19	24	23	21	19	21	15	↓ -29%	↓ -30%		20	24	-	17	12	13		
CP6B	Number of children with a Child Protection Plan at the end of the month, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	329	344	319	328	295	282	277	255	277	266	294	290	296	→ 2%	↓ -10%		296	344	-	323	331	425		The increase this month is assessed to be because less plans ended than we anticipated - there were unexpected sickness absence across the CPC team which led to the cancellation of conferences. For those that we could run, we prioritised initial conferences. Staff capacity is no back to full strength and the relevant conferences have been rescheduled.
CP6B-NI	Child Protection Plan (CPP) rate per 10,000	Jane White	Stuart Webb	67	70	65	67	60	57	56	52	56	53	59	58	59	→ 2%	↓ -12%		60	70	-	54	43	42		The increase in CP6B has impacted upon the CP plan rate. In order to understand the local trends in more detail, The audit activity outlined in the September commentary is now being implemented, with a report to the Performance Management Board.
CP2	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs), excluding transfer-ins and temporary registrations	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	17	48	16	45	23	34	19	37	45	33	36	44	46	→ 5%	↑ 171%		34	48	-	39	40	50		Southampton numbers remain high in relation to SN and National figures. A 'ways of working' project is underway that considers this area of performance in its remit.
CP2-NI	Rate per 10,000 Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs)	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	3	10	3	9	5	8	4	8	9	8	8	9	10	→ 4%	↑ 178%		7	10	-	6	5	5		The rate has increased over the past 3 months; although the 12 month average is closer to our SN. See above - CP2 regarding the 'ways of working' project.
CP4 (val)	Number of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children) (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	15	44	16	38	16	32	17	26	36	28	35	42	42	→ 0%	↑ 180%	▲	29.77	44.00	-	34	35	43		The figure in this area has remained static in the past two months, markedly higher than the previous six months. The audit activity articulated in the September commentary is now underway.
CP4	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children)	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	88.2%	91.7%	100.0%	84.4%	69.6%	94.1%	89.5%	70.3%	80.0%	84.8%	97.2%	95.5%	91.3%	→ -4%	→ -3%	▲	87.4%	100.0%	P	87.1%	86.7%	85.6%		The conversion % has reduced in the past month. The 12 month average is extremely close to the SN average. See above CP4(val) regarding audit activity.
CP2b	Number of transfer-ins	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	1	1	3	0	3	4	0	0	1	5	4	2	2	→ 0%	↑ 100%		2	5	-	Local	Local	Local		The number of transfers in remains low; enabling a system where a QA Practice Improvement Co-ordinator is tasked with checking that local processes are being followed correctly. This will be done for the October cohort.
CP2b %	Percentage of transfer-ins where child became subject to a CP Plan during period	Jane White	Sarah Ward	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	↑ 100%	- n/a		52.6%	100.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		There have been no transfer in conferences held in the last month
CP3-QL (val)	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) which were held within timescales (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	12	22	10	22	5	27	15	34	24	30	26	44	38	↓ -14%	↑ 217%	▲	24	44	-	Local	Local	Local		The decrease in performance this month is explained by the unexpected sickness absence detailed elsewhere in the commentary. Notwithstanding this, the timeliness data shows a favourable position in comparison to SN, National and Regional data
CP3-QL	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) held within timescales (based on count of children)	Phil Buntingham	Stuart Webb	70.6%	45.8%	62.5%	48.9%	21.7%	79.4%	78.9%	91.9%	53.3%	90.9%	72.2%	100.0%	82.6%	↓ -17%	↑ 17%	▲	69.1%	100.0%	P	76.0%	76.7%	72.2%		See above CP3-QL

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporte	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev.	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage?	Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	Target 17-18	Commentary (Oct-17):
CP8-QL	Percentage of children subject to a Child Protection Plan seen in the last 15 working days.	Jane White	Sarah Ward	67.0%	77.0%	86.0%	87.0%	91.0%	94.0%	90.0%	89.0%	88.0%	86.0%	86.0%	78.0%	85.0%	→ 9%	↑ 27%	▲	84.9%	94.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		Team Managers have been working with their teams and with individual workers to identify any issues preventing recording of visits, this has supported workers and given a clear message to the teams about recording in a timely way. There needs to be a further improvement in this although there are always families who are away or who are unable to engage with a planned visit in a timely way.
CP5-QL (val)	Number of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	7	15	2	17	4	1	6	5	15	6	11	3	21	↑ 600%	↑ 200%	▼	9	21	-	6	6	9		The numbers of children who have previously being subject to a plan is high this month. A contributing factor is one family with a large number of children. Notwithstanding this, we are reviewing the families who have been subject to planning in the past 18 months. The CPC team manager has been tasked with auditing these cases to identify if there is any learning.
CP5-QL	Percentage of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	46.7%	34.1%	12.5%	44.7%	25.0%	2.9%	33.3%	19.2%	39.5%	18.2%	28.2%	7.1%	47.7%	↑ 568%	→ 2%	▼	27.6%	47.7%	P	17.5%	17.9%	20.7%		See above CP5-QL (val)
CP9	Number of children subject to Review Child Protection Conferences (RCPCs) in the month	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	89	86	84	68	90	94	70	94	46	82	30	101	85	↓ -16%	→ -4%		78	101	-	Local	Local	Local		The number is lower than the previous month; but, this is in the context of the reduced capacity to facilitate conferences due to absence.
CP7	Number of ceasing Child Protection Plans, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	32	29	46	29	50	42	26	48	18	42	11	48	39	↓ -19%	↑ 22%	▲	35	50	-	35	34	42		The number has reduced this month, mainly due to reduced staffing capacity, The team is now back to full capacity. The 12 month average mirrors our SN.
LAC1	Number of Looked after Children at end of period	Jane White	Julian Watkins	605	602	586	584	568	542	546	536	526	515	514	523	517	→ -1%	↓ -15%	▼	551	605	-	450	463	520	515	As suggested last month we have seen this figure rebalance as some children who were admitted to care in an emergency have returned home with support plans.
LAC1-NI	Looked after Children rate per 10,000	Jane White	Julian Watkins	123	122	119	119	116	110	111	109	105	103	103	105	104	→ -1%	↓ -16%		111	123	-	76	60	52		Slight decrease due to issues above.
LAC2	Number of new Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	8	14	7	7	2	8	9	9	8	16	11	18	11	↓ -39%	↑ 38%	▼	10	18	-	17	18	22		There has been a reduced number of admissions to care from last month to a more predictable level, noting the sudden spike last month as explained previously. We continue to track several children robustly in pre-proceedings, and this is enabling us to make planned and robust decisions on risk management and correct entry to care thresholds.
LAC3	Number of ceasing Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Julian Watkins	14	18	20	10	18	34	3	19	15	26	14	9	16	↑ 78%	↑ 14%	▲	17	34	-	17	17	22		A reduction in children in care was predicted to be higher this month, due to issues explained above re a significant cohort of children who entered care in an unplanned way last month, some of whom were to return home with strong support packages.
LAC6 (val)	Number of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Brian Reigh	5	4	3	4	5	20	0	3	10	5	8	3	2	↓ -33%	↓ -60%		6	20	-	3	3	3	65	
LAC6 (%)	Percentage of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Brian Reigh	35.7%	22.2%	15.0%	40.0%	27.8%	58.8%	0.0%	15.8%	66.7%	19.2%	57.1%	33.3%	12.5%	↓ -63%	↓ -65%		31.1%	66.7%	P	19.7%	15.0%	14.0%	n/a	
LAC12 (val)	Number of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Brian Reigh	3	1	2	0	7	5	0	2	3	10	1	1	7	↑ 600%	↑ 133%		3	10	-	2	2	2		
LAC12 (%)	Percentage of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Brian Reigh	21.4%	5.6%	10.0%	0.0%	38.9%	14.7%	0.0%	10.5%	20.0%	38.5%	7.1%	11.1%	43.8%	↑ 294%	↑ 104%	▲	17.0%	43.8%	P	10.0%	11.0%	9.0%		
LAC7-QL	Percentage of Looked after Children visited within timescales	Jane White	Julian Watkins	70.0%	76.0%	76.0%	82.0%	86.0%	83.0%	79.0%	84.0%	82.0%	79.0%	85.0%	76.0%	82.0%	→ 8%	↑ 17%	▲	80.0%	86.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		This is improving and is back up over the 80% mark. Some of the data here does not account for children in long term settled placements where there are differing visiting patterns.
LAC10 (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA plan	Jane White	Julian Watkins	90.4%	90.5%	92.2%	94.3%	94.5%	94.1%	95.4%	94.8%	98.1%	97.5%	97.3%	95.8%	98.1%	→ 2%	→ 8%	▲	94.8%	98.1%	P	Local	Local	Local		This has improved over the last month, with this dip in performance having been raised with the relevant teams who have responded well.
LAC10-QL	Number of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA Plan	Jane White	Julian Watkins	547	545	540	551	537	510	521	508	517	502	500	501	507	→ 1%	→ -7%	▲	522	551	-	Local	Local	Local		As above - this is a positive number.
LAC13	Number of current unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children looked after at end of period	Jane White	Julian Watkins	7	11	10	10	11	11	11	10	11	10	12	13	12	→ -8%	↑ 71%		11	13	-	17	28	71		As ever this cohort appears to remain relatively stable
LAC14	Number of new unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children	Jane White	Julian Watkins	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	↓ -100%	↓ -100%		1	4	-	Local	Local	Local		N/A
LAC11-QL	Number of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Mary Hardy	155	131	132	149	153	152	149	149	151	150	157	163	164	→ 1%	→ 6%	▲	150	164	-	Local	Local	Local		The 3% without an authorised PP at 16y3m old represents 4 YP across 3 teams in the LA- 2 of them are in Pathways and will be completed this week, TM for the other 2 are aware and myself and Julian have chased for them to be completed.
LAC11-QL (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Mary Hardy	61.0%	88.0%	87.0%	92.0%	93.0%	95.0%	93.0%	91.0%	92.0%	92.0%	95.0%	97.0%	97.0%	→ 0%	↑ 59%	▲	90.2%	97.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		As above - LAC11-QL (%)

Ref.	Indicator	Owner	Reporte	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	% change from previous month	% change from same month prev.	DoT	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Percentage?	Stat. Neighbour	England	SE region	Target 17-18	Commentary (Oct-17):
NI147	Percentage of Care Leavers in contact and in suitable accommodation	Jane White	Mary Hardy	New	New	New	New	New	83.6%	88.0%	84.3%	84.4%	83.1%	83.1%	86.0%	83.8%	→ -3%	- n/a	▲	84.5%	88.0%	P	Local	Local	Local		2.8% reduction in this indicator represents 3or 4 yp who have either not been in contact with us, or have entered custody or moved to transitory acc'dn - any of these variables would negatively impact on the %. However the overall numbers in contact and in suitable acc'dn remain fairly steady across the year.-
LAC9 (val)	Number of IFA placements	Jane White	Brian Reigh	157	159	158	152	148	139	145	144	144	138	138	139	139	→ 0%	↓ -11%	▼	146	159	-	Local	Local	Local		
LAC9	Percentage of IFA placements (of all looked after children)	Jane White	Brian Reigh	26.0%	26.4%	27.0%	26.0%	26.1%	25.6%	26.6%	26.9%	27.4%	26.8%	26.8%	26.6%	26.9%	→ 1%	→ -4%	▼	26.5%	27.4%	P	Local	Local	Local		
LAC15	Number of in-house foster carers at the end of period	Jane White	Brian Reigh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	181	175	176	174	170	169	→ -1%	- n/a		174	181	-	Local	Local	Local		